

# Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

A short deformation argument.

Heinrich Hartmann\* 

doi:10.5281/zenodo.18009904

## Abstract

We give a very short proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra using a one-parameter deformation and a discriminant-locus argument.

## 1 Introduction

The *Fundamental Theorem of Algebra* has a long and contested history, with early attempts by d'Alembert, Euler, and Laplace, and more substantial proofs by Gauss (1799, 1816, 1849) and Argand (1806/1813). For surveys and historical accounts, see Remmert's exposition [Rem91], the monograph of Fine–Rosenberger [FR97], and Gilain's historical study [Gil91].

Since then, the theorem has continued to attract new proofs, ranging from analytic (Liouville, Rouché) to topological (winding numbers, degree arguments), constructive (Kneser [Kne39], Richman [Ric00]), and elementary (Rio Branco de Oliveira [dO12], Basu [Bas21]). This ongoing stream of contributions underscores both the theorem's centrality and its pedagogical appeal.

The proof given here exploits a discriminant complement argument: one shows that, away from parameters where the discriminant vanishes, roots continue locally by the implicit function theorem, while global existence follows from connectedness and a compactness/closedness input (here provided by Cauchy's root bound). The same strategy has been independently used earlier by Pukhlikov–Pushkar (see [Con]) and 2016 in a blog post by Litt [Lit16].

The approach taken here is particularly compact and elementary, working with a single one-parameter family  $p_t = (1-t)q + tp$ , and using only the implicit function theorem and basic resultants as ingredients.

## 2 Main Theorem

**(1) Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra). *Every polynomial of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients has exactly  $n$  complex roots, counted with multiplicity.*

*Proof.* For a given polynomial  $p(x) = x^n + p_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + p_0$ , we consider the family of polynomials  $p_t = tp + (1-t)q$  for  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . Where  $p_0 = q$  is a polynomial with  $n$  distinct roots, e.g.  $q = x^n - 1$ .

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be the set of parameters  $t$  where  $p_t$  has at least one root. By construction  $0 \in X$ . We will show that  $1 \in X$ , by deforming the roots of  $q$  along  $p_t$  using Resultant techniques and the Implicit Function theorem, and leveraging the Cauchy bound on root location to show stability of roots under limits with bounded coefficients. This shows that  $p$  has a single root  $r$ , by splitting off a linear factor  $x - r$  and induction on the degree of  $p$  we conclude that  $p$  has  $n$  roots.

The set  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  is closed:

---

\*Hartmann IT GmbH / HeinrichHartmann.com / [Heinrich@HeinrichHartmann.com](mailto:Heinrich@HeinrichHartmann.com)

Let  $t_k$  be a sequence in  $X$  converging to a point  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . We need to show that  $t \in X$ . As  $t_k$  is bounded, we know that the coefficients of  $p_{t_k}$  are bounded by a constant  $M$ . By the Cauchy bound, all roots of  $p_{t_k}$  lie within the disk of radius  $1 + \max_i |p_{t_k,i}| \leq M + 1$ . Let  $r_k$  be a convergent sequence of roots of  $p_{t_k}$ , and let  $r = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{t_k}(r_k) = p_t(r)$  by continuity of  $p_t$ , but  $p_{t_k}(r_k) = 0$ , so  $p_t(r) = 0$ .

Now consider  $\Delta(t) = \text{Res}(p_t, p'_t)$  the discriminant of the polynomial  $p_t$ .

Recall that the Resultant  $\text{Res}(p, q)$  is a polynomial in the coefficients of the polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  and that  $\text{Res}(p, q) = 0$  if and only if the polynomials  $p$  and  $q$  have a common factor ( $\text{gcd}(p, q) \neq 1$ ). In particular  $\Delta(t)$  is a polynomial in  $t$ , with  $\Delta(0) \neq 0$  as  $q$  has  $n$  distinct roots.

Let  $D = \{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Delta(t) = 0\}$ , and let  $U = \mathbb{C} \setminus D$ . As complement of a finite set,  $U$  is connected open and dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

The set  $X \cap U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open:

Let  $t \in X \cap U$ , we need to show that there is a neighborhood  $N$  of  $t$  such that  $N \subset X$ .

Since  $t \in X$  we know that  $p_t$  has at least one root  $r$ . As  $t \in U$  we know that  $p'_t(r) \neq 0$ , since otherwise  $p_t$  and  $p'_t$  would have a common factor. By the implicit function theorem applied to  $F(x, t) = p_t(x)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $N$  of  $t$  and a function  $r(\tau)$  defined on  $N$ , with  $r(t) = r$  and  $p_\tau(r(\tau)) = 0$  for all  $\tau \in N$ . This shows that  $N \subset X$ .

We conclude that  $X \cap U$  is open and closed in  $U$ . As  $U$  is connected, it follows that  $X \cap U = U$ . Thus,  $X$  contains the open dense set  $U$ , which implies that  $X$  is all of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and in particular  $1 \in X$ .

□

## References

- [Bas21] Saugata Basu. A strictly real proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra. *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 43:24–27, 2021.
- [Con] Keith Conrad. The fundamental theorem of algebra via proper maps. Expository note (based on ideas of Pukhlikov–Pushkar). URL <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/>.
- [dO12] O. Rio Branco de Oliveira. A new elementary proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 119:753–756, 2012.
- [FR97] Benjamin Fine and Gerhard Rosenberger. *The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra*. Springer, 1997.
- [Gil91] Christian Gilain. Sur l’histoire du théorème fondamental de l’algèbre. *Archive for History of Exact Sciences*, 42:91–136, 1991.
- [Kne39] Hellmuth Kneser. Der fundamentalsatz der algebra und der intuitionismus. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 45:12–18, 1939.
- [Lit16] Daniel Litt. A minimal proof of the fundamental theorem of algebra. Blog post, 2016. [daniel-litt.com](http://daniel-litt.com).
- [Rem91] Reinhard Remmert. The fundamental theorem of algebra. In Jean Dieudonné, editor, *Numbers*, volume 123 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, pages 97–122. Springer, 1991.
- [Ric00] Fred Richman. The fundamental theorem of algebra: A constructive development. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 196:213–230, 2000.